

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE ADOPTION OF A STANDARD)	
METHODOLOGY FOR ESTABLISHING RATES)	ADM. CASE NO. 304
FOR CONDUIT USAGE)	

O R D E R

On June 20, 1986, Storer Communications of Northern Kentucky, Inc., and Storer Communications of Bowling Green-Warren County, Inc., (collectively "Storer") filed a motion with the Commission for full intervention and for an extension of time in filing comments and/or testimony. On July 10, 1986, the Commission by Order granted Storer full intervention and extended the due date for filing comments to September 1, 1986. On September 2, 1986, Storer filed comments and accompanying testimony of Stuart G. McDaniel.

On September 17, 1986, the Commission issued a procedural Order outlining the remaining schedule of the case. In that Order, the Commission allowed a discovery period for information requested and responses to be filed by the parties of record.

On October 6, 1986, South Central Bell Telephone Company ("SCB") issued an information request to Storer. On October 24, 1986, Storer filed objections and responses to SCB's request. Specifically, Storer objected to SCB's request because it interpreted the Commission's Order to include only regulated companies.

On November 4, 1986, SCB filed with the Commission a Motion to Compel Storer to respond to its request. The basis for the motion is that Storer is an intervenor in this proceeding and therefore should be open for questioning. On November 11, 1986, Storer responded by filing an opposition to SCB's Motion to Compel.

FINDINGS

The Commission, after a review of the record and being advised, is of the opinion and finds that:

1. Storer, as an intervenor, pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 3(8), is a party and as such is subject to all regulations governing the conduct of proceedings before the Commission, including requests for information.

2. Storer should comply with all information requests made by any of the other participants.

3. Storer's objection to the information request and opposition to the Motion to Compel of SCB should be overruled.

4. SCB's motion should be sustained.

ORDERS

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:


1. Storer's objection to the information request and opposition to the Motion to Compel of SCB shall be overruled.


2. SCB's motion is hereby sustained.

3. Storer shall comply with all information requests as soon as possible.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 3rd day of December, 1986.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Chairman


Vice Chairman


Commissioner

ATTEST:

Executive Director